

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA

BILLINGS DIVISION

DWIGHT KROHNE and KITTY
KROHNE, Individually, and
DWIGHT KROHNE and KITTY
KROHNE d/b/a “THE LAST
STAND FIREWORKS
COMPANY,” “KROHNE ISLAND
ANTIQUES,” “CAFF-E D-ARTE,”
“LIVINGSTON GREYHOUND-
RIMROCK TRAIL WAYS,” and
various rental properties

Plaintiffs,

vs.

BURLINGTON NORTHERN &
SANTA FE RAILWAY
COMPANY, a foreign corporation,
f/k/a BURLINGTON NORTHERN
RAILROAD COMPANY, a
Delaware Corporation,

Defendants.

CV-09-50-BLG-RFC-CSO

**FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATION OF
UNITED STATES
MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

At a scheduling hearing held on June 28, 2012, the Court and counsel discussed, among other topics, Defendants’ pending motion to dismiss. Defendants filed the motion nearly three years ago – on August 26, 2009. *DKT 26*. The case had been stayed for a significant portion of the time since the motion’s filing, and was only recently

referred to the undersigned for pretrial management. *See DKTs 46, 52, and 53.*

At the scheduling hearing, the Court advised Defendants' counsel that it was inclined to recommend that the motion to dismiss be denied because of developments since it was filed and fully briefed, including: (1) potentially relevant developments in data gathering and expert analysis; (2) developments in other actions involving facts and claims similar to those involved in this action; and (3) the Montana Supreme Court's answer to Chief Judge Cebull's certified question in cases CV 07-147-BLG-RFC, CV 07-148-BLG-RFC, and CV 08-30-BLG-RFC.

The Court suggested to Defendants' counsel that it might also be appropriate for Defendants to withdraw the pending motion and refile it before the motions deadline established at the scheduling hearing. Defendants' counsel indicated that the motion would be withdrawn.

To date, Defendants have not withdrawn the motion. Accordingly, for the reasons stated herein and on the record of the scheduling hearing held on June 28, 2012, IT IS RECOMMENDED that Defendants' motion to dismiss (*DKT 26*) be DENIED, without prejudice to refile before the deadline for filing motions.

NOW, THEREFORE, **IT IS ORDERED** that the Clerk shall serve a copy of the Findings and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge upon the parties. The parties are advised that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, any objections to the findings and recommendation portion of this document must be filed with the Clerk of Court and copies served on opposing counsel within fourteen (14) days after service hereof, or objection is waived.

DATED this 9th day of July, 2012.

/s/ Carolyn S. Ostby
United States Magistrate Judge